



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 09/432,113	11/02/1999	NOBUHIRO SAITOU	826.1570/JDH	9639
21171	7590 05/30/2003			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP 700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500			EXAMINER	
			HARRISON, CHANTE E	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2672	. ~
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003	15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisor Action	09/432,113	SAITOU, NOBUHIRO	\bigcirc
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit) /
	Chante Harrison	2672	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address -	
THE REPLY FILED 07 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica 1) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in	
_	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension of the fee. The appropriate extensioninally set in the final Office action:	ion sion
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	fice later than three months after the mai CFR 1.704(b).	ling date of the final rejection, even if	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	,		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or			е
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendmen	t
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: _	r reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	,		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	 '	
10. Other:			
Patent and Trademark Office			



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Graphically editing by interactive 2D movements and designation of an overlapping area of the display portion separate from the graph to be edited was not previously claimed.

MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600